are the ones that need the help and that is what we are attempting to do with the minimum-maximum levy. Again, remember, under the mechanisms in the bill as the dollars come in, you will narrow the focus down and then future Legislatures can certainly adjust the percentage that goes into equalization or foundation. Under the Lamb amendment you are simply saying that we recognize that when we don't put in enough dollars we are going to spread it out a little further to a little more people, to a little more districts, which means that nobody gets enough to help at all. I oppose the Lamb amendment and I would hope that you would also.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman, do you wish to speak on this motion?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, Senator Vickers, a question please. Have you seen the passout that Senator Lamb passed out?

SENATOR VICKERS: Yes, sir.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Could the figures that he is showing there, is the intent language percentage there correct?

SENATOR VICKERS: The percent on the far right hand that say 33 percent on up to 45 percent?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Yes.

SENATOR VICKERS: Yes, that is correct. That is in the bill.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Would it be possible then, would it not be possible then that the figures on the left also would be proper?

SENATOR VICKERS: The figures on the left are also in the bill, Senator Lamb or Senator Haberman, and, yes, I think those are proper.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Then what you are saying is...